### PRFLEX

# Optimizing the Recycling System for Flexible Plastic Packaging in Canada















# Land Acknowledgment

12 mar

## **Objectives**

Showcase key data and findings

**Engage** subject matter experts to provide insights into the key learnings

**Provide** the audience with an opportunity to ask questions to our experts

#### **Discuss** next steps and recommendations

#### Charles David Mathieu-Poulin

Strategic Advisor, Circular Plastics Taskforce

Sara-Emmanuelle Dubois

President, NovAxia Inc.



#### **Paul Shorthouse**

Senior Director, Canada Plastics Pact



VP Operations, Western Canada, Recycle BC



**Pierre Benabidès** 

General Manager, Circular Plastics Taskforce

## Flexible Plastic Packaging in Canada



47% of the plastic packaging

put on the Canadian market

4.2% growth year-over-year

#### **Great sustainability advantages**

but end-of-life challenges

#### **Regulatory pressures**

- ECCC Recycled Content and Labelling
- EPR Recycling performance targets (40% by 2027 in Québec, and 25% by 2026 in Ontario)

### **Voluntary commitments**

 Canada Plastics Pact 5-year Roadmap for Flexible Plastic Packaging





### **Gathering baseline data**

to determine the percentage of FPP currently being collected, sorted and recycled, according to format and type, across the country.



#### Identifying infrastructure gaps

in material recovery facilities (MRFs) and at reclaimers.



%

#### **Proposing new technologies**

and optimizing processes to increase capture rates, improve sorting and produce higher quality post-consumer recycled resins.



Work conducted from March to June 2023

## The Current State of FPP Recycling in Canada

# Most curbside collection systems in Canada accept some FPP

- Majority only accept polyethylene films (#4)
- Only BC accepts all types of FPP in depots

# Significant volume of high-value FPP in ICI sector

Lack of a widespread dedicated collection system

### 46 000 to 59 000

**TPY collected** 

### 3% to 4%

recycling rate

Without accepting all FPP in the curbside collection systems, it will be very difficult to reach the ambitious voluntary and regulatory performance targets. There is a significant variety of FPP on the market, which adds complexity to the recycling value chain. However, we lack reliable data on FPP composition and volume.



Loose FPP is one of the most challenging and costly materials to sort for MRFs. It overlaps with other materials on conveyors and confounds recognition.

It tends to be contaminated by other materials of similar density (such as strings and twine, paper, etc.), especially in presence of air classification and aeraulic transfer systems.

It accumulates on the rotating components of equipment reducing their efficiency.

It can contain organic matter, increasing the potential for contamination.

It is difficult to distribute evenly on a sorting belt,

due to turbulence and interference from other, heavier objects.

It involves a great deal of handling to produce a bale of FPP, as a 750kg bale of FPP would contain between 75,000 and 225,000 single film units.

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

A dual stream collection model is better for sorting FPP, and its feasibility should be evaluated.

### **The FPP Collection Hierarchy**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

PREFERRED

MOST

### **Reclaimer and End-Markets**

Current 30 000 TPY Focus on LDPE/LLDPE Mostly ICI **Very limited** pre-sort **Mechanical** only Limited end markets (durables)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Future 100 000 TPY All FPP Types

ICI and curbside

Front-end pre-sort

Mechanical and chemical

Large range of end markets

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Materials Recovery Facilities** 

through the implementation of design for recyclability measures SET UP DEDICATED COLLECTION of FPP in ICI Through regulatory reporting and waste studies, 3 IMPROVE THE UNDERSTANDING OF FPP COMPOSITION AND MARKET ACCEPT ALL FPP IN CURBSIDE COLLECTION AND MAKE MRFS RESPONSIBLE FOR **CAPTURING FPP**, and not for separating FPP by resin or type

**AIM FOR BETTER HARMONIZATION OF FPP** 

Where not already implemented, **EVALUATE THE FEASIBILITY OF DUAL STREAM COLLECTION** 

When dual stream is not suitable, EVALUATE THE FEASIBILITY OF BUILDING NEW SINGLE-STREAM MRFS designed to sort FPP more efficiently.

If building a new single-stream MRF is not feasible, **IMPLEMENT SOLUTIONS FOR REDUCING LOOSE FPP**, such as depots and bags-in-bag

**DEVELOP NEW CAPACITIES FOR FPP SEPARATION AT RECLAIMERS** and implement emerging sorting and recycling technologies.

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

5

6

8

9

()

Industrial, Commercial and Institutional

Households

Through supply chain collaboration, SUPPORT THE BUILDING OF VIABLE **END-MARKETS** for all types of collected FPP, including hard-to-recycle materials.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)